

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/20/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/677,594	10/01/2003	Donald A. Bistline	Don 2	8221	
7590 01/20/2006 THOMAS M. THIBAULT			EXAMINER		
			VASUDEVA, AJAY		
11340 VISTA SORRENTO PKWY #306 SAN DIEGO, CA 92130			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3617		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/677,594	BISTLINE, DONALD A.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ajay Vasudeva	3617		

	Ajay vasuueva	3017	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss
THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply missing the contract of the contract	fidavit, or other evidence compliance with 37 CFF	e, which R 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	<u>-</u>		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	• •		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office	e extension fee action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered bed	ause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			e issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **	" . A	TOL 004)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment (P	TOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Carel Clad and and and	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 30-35.		ii be entered and an ex	dianation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>37-41</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: 30 and 42-47.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t hafara ar an tha data of filing a N	ation of Annual will not l	ha antarad
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowand	e because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper i	No(s)	
			_
		*** Please See Next	

## Continuation of 3. NOTE:

A new search and consideration is required to determine the allowability of newly amended claim 37. It is noted that the previously objected-to claim 37 has been amended by including only some limitations of the preceding claim 36, and not the entire subject matter of claim 36.

AJAY VASUDEVA

PATENT EXAMINER

AU 3617